

Unsettled: Recognizing My Whiteness in Educational Practice

Kyle J. A. Small, Western Theological Seminary

Blog Series: Race Matters in the Classroom

October 30, 2014

Tags: anti-racism | teaching contexts | critical thinking | white privilege

The faculty at Western Theological Seminary (WTS) in Holland, MI recently invested itself in Willie James Jennings' book, *The Christian Imagination: Theology and the Origins of Race* (Yale University Press, 2010). Three faculty members were invited to take up Jennings' claim and call, and to generate a conversation in terms of how Jennings' work intersects with our shared task of teaching and learning. I engaged as a pastoral theologian wondering what are the pedagogical implications if we accept Jennings' book, on his own terms, for the practice of theological education. Here is my offering.

Jennings' main argument is that Western Christianity lives with a diseased social imagination. He says, something is amiss here in the inability to consistently develop an imagination toward intimacy, belonging, and desire (6). Western Christianity not only chose this diseased imagination through participation in the slave industry but has now extended this imagination through a history of oppression, violence, and death for bodies (especially Black ones), as well as spaces, and language. Jennings peels back the layers of this history and asks, "what happened to the original trajectory of intimacy" in Christianity (9)? This diseased social imagination is an imagination formed in *whiteness*, which is a choice and not a given. Jennings invites the reader, indeed Western Christians, to understand the identity-facilitating character that occurs in complicity with whiteness. He unmasks the colonizing mindset, namely gentile forgetfulness, the commodification of land and people, and the spatial isolation of modernity. He discloses the social architecture of private property ownership and deconstructs the shadow-side of the translation and vernacular theories of the Reformation (he calls this later theory, "vernacular print capitalism", see Chapter 5).

Jennings invites a theological re-imagining of Israel and Jesus, creation and redemption, land and people in such a way that "reconfigures living spaces for a more just society" (294). His claims and call are bold and complex; he peels back the social architecture of Christianity to move us toward shalom through the Christian imagination's initial trajectory of intimacy, belonging, and desire.

Interrogating Me, a Teacher and Learner

Jennings unsettles the teacher of theology. He reveals my own pedagogical whiteness and invites me to consider his thesis that I have chosen, even if blindly, the diseased Christian imagination. Upon reading Jennings, I have been wrestling, painfully, in how I am complicit in the unsettling realities of the diseased Christian imagination and whiteness. Jennings invites me to interrogate my vocation and to ask, "How do I, as teacher, live into the colonial liturgy and the organizing ritual each day as a dominant culture person, especially in the economy of vernacular print capitalism and property ownership?"

My response to this question is not an answer but a series of additional questions and unsettling reflections:

- The dissertation and doctoral degree are elements of vernacular print capitalism. I am ordained by the powers of formal education to create and own texts. These accomplishments and professional initials grant me privileges in structures and systems not granted to others. Yet, donning the medieval cap and gown are not baptismal in anyway. The doctoral degree, though deeply formational in its process of achievement, does not bear the marks of a disciple of Jesus Christ; the doctoral degree as a mark of identity is a misappropriation of sacramental and formational power that is afforded to a few and not the many.
- The private office with its books is complicit with vernacular print capitalism and private property. I stamp my books with my name, and this trophy room is one that attempts to say, who "I am" to students and colleagues. Besides wondering why a library exists down the hall if I possess for myself what is also there, Jennings invites me to ask, not simply "what is/is not here in this trophy room? But also to ask how is what is here *organized*?" Why is it that my most accessible shelves contain Bonhoeffer, Luther, and Calvin, and my less accessible bookshelves include hooks, Gonzalez, and Deloria?
- Most painfully, how do I universalize or de-particularize students and colleagues based on their gender, race, and socio-status? How do I presume a student of color or a woman's story prior to an initial encounter or believe an initial encounter discloses one's fullness? Finally, how, amidst feeling home here at WTS, do I create a place of exile for others who do not feel at home? Do I understand what was here before me, or have I forgotten that my belonging here was first as immigrant before it was as citizen, albeit pre-tenure immigrant?



simply more questions that need deeper pedagogical and personal examination. In other words, I have found myself living at the center of dominant culture and have forgotten what is actual for all of us: exile, suffering, and displacement. We cannot forget that most of us, almost all, were gentiles and heathens before we were home in our offices and schools. Exile is occurring to me and to you whether we know it or not. If we do not sense exile, then we have capitulated to the lie of the colonial liturgy and belong to the dominant

I do not have answers to each question but

culture.

Interrogating Us: A Protestant Reformed Seminary

When racialized tragic events occur in Ferguson, New York City, or even Holland, Michigan, there is little formal curricular space at WTS to question, explore, or reflect on these events that affect our friends, neighbors, students, colleagues, and even ourselves. Why is it that a curriculum with stated values - formational, sacramental, contextual, and missional - is so thin on required conversations relating to the here and now? Jennings invites us to interrogate our curriculum and our teaching and learning practices to be a place of intimacy, belonging, and desire. Jennings unsettles us to ask:

• Why is it that Reformed students know Calvin and Barth better than they know Truth, Douglass, and DuBois? Why is it that Calvin is systematic theology and King is contextual theology? The purpose of the question is not to diminish one voice for another yet to recognize how the occasion of Calvin has been universalized?

The *context* of ministry for our graduates is in the post-20th century U.S., yet the primary *content* for their understanding is 16th century Reformation Europe. There are particular gifts in each occasion that fashion pastoral leadership; however, when one occasion is considered "The Tradition", aka the universal, then it reduces the others to simple novelty. Universalizing content locates all others in the mode of elective knowledge and not the central or core curriculum. As Jennings writes, "the problem is not curriculum coverage but how curricular sensibilities betray the concealment of modern identity formation with its constant social performances of detachment, distorting translation, and failed intimacy" (291).

• Why is it that a student who knows two or three languages (other than English) must learn Greek from an English textbook? Why is it that Greek and Hebrew are the accepted languages for ministry leadership when emerging ministry possibilities occur in places and with people that speak Spanish, Chinese, and Arabic? What pedagogical imperialism

is located in the predominant theological languages?

- Why is it that students of color who have experienced trauma by being labeled and classified throughout life in the U.S. and elsewhere have to complete assignments that further this labeling? We assign the Genogram in Pastoral Care and the Enneagram and LifeMap in my Leadership class. Our desire to undo whiteness through reflections on one's social architecture is also expected of students of color. Why do we have assignments that force students of color to relive this pain; as one Black student said recently, "I always struggle with these kinds of assignments due to a profound hesitation to revisit past events that have been so emotionally traumatic... they're haunting and not easily revisited."
- How are our syllabi "documents of home" for some students, while serving as "documents of exile," suffering, and displacement for others? How do our syllabi serve as worship bulletins for the colonial liturgy?

Again, these are questions in need of time for further examination. In the end, Jennings invites white educational institutions to consider "the formative power of whiteness" (290) and to reimagine the social spaces of daily life toward a sense of intimacy and belonging consistent with the Christian narrative. As we move forward after Jennings and continue to expect our students to understand the diseased Christian imagination, the faculty is also invited to understand how we are complicit in the same and how we are called to live toward a Christian imagination of intimacy, belonging, and desire.

https://wabashcenter.wabash.edu/2014/10/unsettled-recognizing-my-whiteness-in-educational-practice/